

**To:** Henning, Alan[Henning.Alan@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** CAMACHO Ivan[CAMACHO.Ivan@deq.state.or.us]  
**From:** FOSTER Eugene P  
**Sent:** Tue 5/17/2016 6:11:23 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Notes from today's call regarding EPA's May 11, 2016 letter to Wendy Wiles

thanks!

**From:** Henning, Alan [mailto:Henning.Alan@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 17, 2016 8:30 AM  
**To:** FOSTER Eugene P  
**Cc:** CAMACHO Ivan  
**Subject:** RE: Notes from today's call regarding EPA's May 11, 2016 letter to Wendy Wiles

Thanks Gene. I added your comment about working on expectations for 2016 to the list of items discussed. After I connect with Jayne, I will touch bases with you and Ivan again.

Alan

**From:** FOSTER Eugene P [mailto:FOSTER.Eugene@deq.state.or.us]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 17, 2016 8:14 AM  
**To:** Henning, Alan <Henning.Alan@epa.gov>; CAMACHO Ivan <CAMACHO.Ivan@deq.state.or.us>  
**Subject:** RE: Notes from today's call regarding EPA's May 11, 2016 letter to Wendy Wiles

Thanks Alan

I did not have anything else in my notes. In addition to items to follow up on, I suppose we should have next steps for the 2016 expectations.

cheers

Gene

**From:** Henning, Alan [<mailto:Henning.Alan@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Monday, May 16, 2016 5:06 PM  
**To:** FOSTER Eugene P; CAMACHO Ivan  
**Subject:** Notes from today's call regarding EPA's May 11, 2016 letter to Wendy Wiles

Gene and Ivan,

Thanks for the conference call. Below are my notes from today's conversation. Please feel to add or edit as needed.

Notes from our 5/16 conference call regarding EPA's letter to DEQ re: "New Expectations for EPA's Annual Review of Oregon's Nonpoint Source management Program":

Questions raised and points made:

1. Are other EPA Regions asking this of their states? Response – Alan didn't think so but he would ask Jayne.
2. Is this additional condition going to apply to EPA's 2016 review? Response – Alan thought it was going to apply in 2016. He would find out for sure.
3. Is there a blue print for the State to follow in responding to this condition? Alan thought there wasn't which means we have the opportunity to create the blueprint to address this condition.
4. Gene suggest that DEQ could add items such as DEQ's commenting on Ag Water Quality Management Plans and DEQ's use of Status and trends monitoring to look water quality changes in waterbodies. He also suggested adding a work element that includes revising the format of the State's 319 annual report and exploring the "leveraging exemption" option for meeting the 50/50 grant condition. If we can think of others, please share them with each other.
5. Alan agreed to provide an example of a "waiver" letter to Gene and Ivan.
6. Gene had an "exemption" letter from the Minnesota.
7. Work on next steps to meet 2016 expectations.

Items I missed???

Alan Henning, Environmental Scientist

Watersheds Unit, Office of Water and Watersheds

Region 10, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

165 E. 7<sup>th</sup> Ave., Eugene, Oregon 97405

(541-687-7360)